

DEFINITION OF THE EVALUATION DOMAIN

Adult General Education

Diversified Basic Education Program

English as a Second Language

COMMUNICATING INFORMATION – COURSE 3

ANG-3103-1

June 2015

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Evaluation Content.....	2
Explanation of the Evaluation Content.....	3
Evaluation Criteria	3
Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge	3
Weighting.....	3
Knowledge.....	4
Specifications for the Evaluation Instruments.....	5
Examination: Number of Parts, Sections, Procedure and Duration	5
Examination Content	5
Information-Gathering Tools.....	5
Authorized Materials.....	5
Assessment Tools	6
Pass Mark.....	6
Retakes	6

Introduction

The Definition of the Evaluation Domain (DED) ensures consistency between a course and the related evaluation instruments. The DED is used to select, organize and describe the essential and representative elements of the course. The DED is based on the program of study and the course, but should by no means replace them in the planning of instructional activities.

All the DEDs produced after June 30, 2014, by the Ministère de l'Éducation, de l'Enseignement supérieur et de la Recherche (MEESR) are prescriptive. Consequently, they are the reference documents to be used in the development of all examinations, be they ministerial examinations or those developed by adult education centres or by Société GRICS (BIM). The DEDs thus serve as a model for preparing multiple equivalent versions of examinations that are valid across the province.¹

In addition, as set out in the *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning*, adult learners must know what they will be evaluated on and what is expected of them.² The DEDs and the criterion-referenced rubrics (contained in the evaluation instruments) may be used for this purpose.

¹ Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning* (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2003), 47.

² *Ibid.*, 9.

Evaluation Content

General Information	
<p>Broad Areas of Learning³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Media Literacy • Citizenship and Community Life <p>Subject Area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Languages <p>Family of Situations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informing 	<p>Program of Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • English as a Second Language <p>Course</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communicating Information
Essential Elements Targeted by the Evaluation	
<p>Subject-Specific Competencies</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Reinvests understanding of texts 3. Writes and produces texts 	<p>Categories of Knowledge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Functional Knowledge • Linguistic Knowledge • Textual Knowledge
Evaluation Criteria	
<p>Evaluation Criteria for Competency 2</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1. Demonstration of understanding through the response process 2.2. Pertinent use of knowledge in a reinvestment task <p>Evaluation Criteria for Competency 3</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1. Coherence of the content 3.2. Clear formulation of the message 	<p>Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge</p> <p>Proficiency in subject-specific knowledge presupposes its acquisition, understanding, application and mobilization, and is therefore linked with the evaluation criteria for the competencies.</p>

³ The broad areas of learning are stated exactly as in the course. However, the person who designs the evaluation instrument may choose other broad areas of learning.

Explanation of the Evaluation Content

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation criteria are stated exactly as in the course.

Information Clarifying the Evaluation Criteria

2.1. Demonstration of understanding through the response process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehends and interprets factual information
2.2. Pertinent use of knowledge in a reinvestment task	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selects and utilizes pertinent information Combines information from text with own ideas
3.1. Coherence of the content	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses logical, connected text structure and layout Respects language codes and conventions
3.2. Clear formulation of the message	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utilizes appropriate vocabulary and correct spelling (orthography) Applies basic rules of grammar, punctuation and syntax

Proficiency in Subject-Specific Knowledge

Proficiency in subject-specific knowledge is assessed through the evaluation of competencies, using tasks related to the evaluation criteria.

Weighting

The weighting for the evaluation of the competencies is determined in accordance with the *Framework for the Evaluation of Learning* in general education in the youth sector.

Competency 2, *Reinvests understanding of texts*: 20%

Competency 3, *Writes and produces texts*: 80%

The weighting of the evaluation criteria appears in the assessment tools provided in the *Correction and Evaluation Guide*. Adult learners must be made aware of the evaluation criteria used to evaluate them and the corresponding weighting of each criterion, as set out in the criterion-referenced rubric.

Knowledge

The evaluation instruments are designed to require the mobilization of a representative sample of the targeted knowledge listed below, depending on the context of the situation.

- Functional Knowledge
 - Informing:
 - Classifying information
 - Asking for and giving factual information related to needs and interests
 - Identifying and communicating personal wants, needs and interests
- Linguistic Knowledge
 - Grammar and Syntax:
 - Proper nouns and adjectives
 - Verb/time references: *to be* (present and past); simple present and present progressive + key words; future with *will* + key words; verb + infinitive; simple past + key words; conditional with *would*; future with *going to*; present progressive for future actions + key words
 - Indefinite quantities
 - Semantics:
 - Cognates
 - Antonyms
 - Phrasal verbs (inseparable)
 - Abbreviations and acronyms (e.g. in ads, brochures and posters)
 - Orthography:
 - Abbreviations (e.g. *Mr.*, *Mrs.*, *Miss*, *Ms.*; provinces/states; streets, months)
 - Basic spelling rules
 - Capitalization, punctuation
 - Plurals and irregular plurals
 - Vocabulary:
 - Related to needs, wants and interests of adult learners
- Textual Knowledge
 - Coherence/Cohesion:
 - Agreement: person, number, gender, case, pronoun antecedent, verb
 - Organization of ideas: general to specific
 - Parallel structure: nouns, verbs, infinitives, adjectives, gerunds (e.g. *I like swimming and to ski*)
 - Textual Organization:
 - External features: context, purpose of text, target audience
 - Internal features: language register, text development, layout, components

Specifications for the Evaluation Instruments

Examination: Number of Parts, Sections, Procedure and Duration

Competencies evaluated:

- Competency 2, *Reinvests understanding of texts*
- Competency 3, *Writes and produces texts*

Duration: 120 minutes

Examination Content

The evaluation situation consists of tasks during which the adult learner provides and obtains factual information related to personal needs and interests.

In each examination, two to three informative texts are provided to serve as a springboard for the adult learner's texts. The written texts provided consist of approximately 200 words each, depending on the context.

During the evaluation, the adult learner:

- Reads the text provided.
- Uses prompts provided in the *Adult's Booklet* to construct the meaning of texts. Applies the response process to combine selected information/ideas from texts with own ideas.
- Produces a 15- to 20-item information request form.
- Writes an informative message, note or short letter of approximately 50 words, not counting small words such as articles.

Information-Gathering Tools

Information-gathering tool used to evaluate Competency 2 and Competency 3:

- Written production in the *Adult's Booklet*

Authorized Materials

- English dictionary*
- Bilingual dictionary* (English and another language)
- Published English grammar book*
- Thesaurus*

*Paper format only.

Assessment Tools

The assessment tool for the evaluation of the competencies is the criterion-referenced rubric (one for each competency). Criterion-referenced interpretation involves comparing the information gathered with the expected outcomes.⁴ The criterion-referenced rubrics are appended to the *Correction and Evaluation Guide* and include the following rating scale:

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Weak
- Very weak

Pass Mark

The pass mark is 60% for the examination as a whole.

Retakes

The adult learner must retake the entire examination.

⁴ Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation, *Policy on the Evaluation of Learning* (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2003), 28-29.

**Éducation,
Enseignement
supérieur
et Recherche**

Québec 